

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,289	07/24/2001	Jeffrey J. Norman	0102	5203
7	590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER	
H. GORDON SHIELDS 7830 NORTH 23RD AVENUE			SINGH, SUNIL	
PHOENIX, A	·- - -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3673	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/912,289	NORMAN ET AL.	
j	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunil Singh	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	5S
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a vinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	o a on in
-	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official inely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. SE FINAL REJECTION. SE R 1.136(a) and the approprunt of the fee. The approporiginally set in the final Off	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT բ	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and w or appended.	i an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 27-30.			
Claim(s) objected to: 3,5-12 and 23-26.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4, 13-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
0. Other:		HEATHER SHACKELF PERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER	KAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The language "defining the perimeter of the apparatus" creates new matter because perimeter is defined as "outer limits" and in this case the roof means is the "outer limit" of the apparatus not the perimeter support means.